

- 1 Q. *Evidence of William E. Wells*  
2 *Reference: Page 4, Line 24*
- 3 a. Please provide copies of the Public Utilities Act, the Electrical Power  
4 Control Act (1994), and the Hydro Corporation Act (1996).  
5
- 6 A. a. Copies of the legislation requested are available to the general public  
7 and may be obtained from the Queen's Printer or found on the  
8 Government of Newfoundland web site at [www.gov.nf.ca/hoa/sr/](http://www.gov.nf.ca/hoa/sr/) and  
9 the PUB web site at [www.pub.nf.ca](http://www.pub.nf.ca).

- 1 Q. *Evidence of William E. Wells*  
2 *Reference: Page 6, Line 20*
- 3 a. Provide the basis for the statement that the "legislative amendments  
4 indicate that, as a matter of public policy, Hydro is intended to operate  
5 ... more similar to that of an investor-owned utility than had previously  
6 been the case".  
7
- 8 A. a. Please see NP – 75 (a).

- 1 Q. *Evidence of William E. Wells*  
2 *Reference: Page 8, Line 20*
- 3 a. What is the anticipated date of the “next rate hearing” at which Hydro  
4 will provide a five-year rate plan?  
5
- 6 A. a. It is presently anticipated that Hydro will be filing a general rate  
7 application in 2003.

1 Q. Evidence of Derek Osmond

2 Reference: Page 14, Line 16

3 a. How has revenue from CFB-Goose Bay been treated heretofore?

4 What was the basis for that previous treatment?

5

6

7 A. a. Revenue from CFB-Goose Bay was used to offset the costs, which  
8 were assigned to them, which, as outlined in the response to NP-  
9 129(c), were substantially higher than the current proposed treatment.

10 The treatment of CFB Goose Bay [*DND – Industrial*] was included in  
11 the Labrador Interconnected methodology approved by the Board in  
12 its 1993 Report.

- 1 Q. *Evidence of Derek Osmond*  
2 *Reference: Page 16, Line 8*  
3 a. Please provide the calculations of the surplus “based on the costing  
4 methodology used in setting Wabush rates for 1989”.  
5  
6 A. a. Calculations of the Wabush Surplus for the period 1989 to 2000  
7 are attached.



- 1 Q. *Evidence of John A. Brickhill*  
2 *Reference: Page 12, Line 5*
- 3 a. Please provide copies of the invoices from CF(L)Co for service to  
4 Labrador Interconnected System customers.  
5
- 6 A. a. CF(L)Co does not invoice Hydro specifically for service to Labrador  
7 Interconnected System customers but invoices Hydro for the total  
8 recapture of 300MW at a 90% load factor at the contract price as  
9 outlined in response to NP-40.

1 Q. *Evidence of John C. Roberts*

2 *Reference: Schedule X*

3 a. Do each of the long-term debt issues require semi-annual payments?  
4 On what dates? If not semi-annual, please provide a tabulation  
5 showing the payment schedule.

6

7 b. Please provide a listing of the payment schedule of the Government of  
8 Canada loans.

9

10 A. a. All issues require semi-annual payments on dates as outlined in the  
11 attached schedule.

12

13 b. Please see attached schedules which include amortization details  
14 from 1992 onward.

**Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro  
Schedule of Long-Term Debt  
(\$thousands)**

Series	Interest Rate %	Year of Issue	Year of Maturity	2001	2002	Interest Payment Dates	Interest Payment Dates
Z	5.25	1997	2002	100,000	-	10-Oct	10-Apr
AA	5.50	1998	2008	200,000	200,000	30-Apr	30-Oct
V	10.50	1989	2014	125,000	125,000	15-Jun	15-Dec
X	10.25	1992	2017	150,000	150,000	14-Jul	14-Jan
Y	8.40	1996	2026	300,000	300,000	27-Feb	27-Aug
	5.30	2001	2006	100,000	100,000	10-Sep	10-Mar
	6.25	2001	2031	150,000	150,000	1-Jun	1-Dec
	5.50	2002	2007	-	100,000	31-Mar	30-Sep
	6.10	2002	2012	-	200,000	1-Sep	1-Mar
				<u>1,125,000</u>	<u>1,325,000</u>		

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro  
 Government of Canada Loans  
 Repayment Schedule

<u>Description</u>	<u>GL Account</u>	<u>Principal 2011</u>	<u>Interest 2011</u>	<u>Balance 20111231</u>	<u>Principal 2012</u>	<u>Interest 2012</u>	<u>Balance 20121231</u>	<u>Principal 2013</u>	<u>Interest 2013</u>	<u>Balance 20131231</u>	<u>Principal 2014</u>	<u>Interest 2014</u>	<u>Balance 20141231</u>
<i>Northern Canada Power Commission (NCPC)</i>													
	5.25% 10.3004 SL12757	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5.875% 10.3004 SL12758	63,734.67	5,548.08	30,700.63	30,700.63	1,803.66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	7.375% 10.3004 SL12759	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5.250% 10.3004 SL12765	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Extension to Grid 10.3004 SL12772	661,917.83	120,146.20	931,861.68	288,540.90	69,584.83	643,320.78	310,081.85	48,043.88	333,238.93	333,238.93	24,889.42	0.00
	Thermal 10.3004 SL12773	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		<u>725,652.50</u>	<u>125,694.28</u>	<u>962,562.31</u>	<u>319,241.53</u>	<u>71,388.49</u>	<u>643,320.78</u>	<u>310,081.85</u>	<u>48,043.88</u>	<u>333,238.93</u>	<u>333,238.93</u>	<u>24,889.42</u>	<u>(0.00)</u>
<i>Municipal Development Loan Board (MDLB)</i>													
	5.25% 10.3004 SL12762	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5.375% 10.3004 SL12763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5.625% 10.3004 SL12764	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>725,652.50</b>	<b>125,694.28</b>	<b>962,562.31</b>	<b>319,241.53</b>	<b>71,388.49</b>	<b>643,320.78</b>	<b>310,081.85</b>	<b>48,043.88</b>	<b>333,238.93</b>	<b>333,238.93</b>	<b>24,889.42</b>	<b>(0.00)</b>

**Note:**  
 NCPC payments are due annually on March 31  
 MDLB payments are due annually on September 1

- 1 Q. *Evidence of Paul R. Hamilton*  
2 *Reference: Page 4, Line 1*
- 3 a. Please explain why the Labrador Interconnected System rates had not  
4 been adjusted to recover the allocated portion of the deficit.  
5
- 6 A. a. The Labrador Interconnected System rates had not been adjusted to  
7 recover the allocated portion of the deficit as there has not been a  
8 public rate hearing to revise the rates since the formula for allocating a  
9 portion of the deficit to Labrador was determined.